

Uncertainties in the Projections of Future Heavy Rainfall: Urban Flood Risk in Chicago

Momcilo Markus



University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Contract Report 2016-05

Communicating the Impacts of Potential Future Climate Change on the Expected Frequency of Extreme Rainfall Events in Cook County, Illinois

Momcilo Markus, James Angel, Gregory Byard, Chen Zhang, Zoe Zaloudek, Sally McConkey

May 2016



Illinois State Water Survey Prairie Research Institute University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Champaign, Illinois







Figure 24. Differences between projected 100-year, 24-hour isohyetals for mid-21^{se} century and Bulletin 70 (Huff and Angel, 1989). Top row shows absolute differences in inches, and the bottom row shows percent differences. Three columns show results for 3 IPCC CMIP3 climate scenarios (B1, A1B, and A2).

Stationarity of hydroclimatic data

- Hydro-climatologists have used long-term rainfall and streamflow records to estimate the probability of extreme events (e.g., the 100 year storm) occurring in the future, assuming that future variability will be like past variability.
- This assumption is sometimes called "stationarity."
- In the light of climate change, that assumption now appears to be problematic. Addressing this problem is an area of active research.

POLICYFORUM

CLIMATE CHANGE

Stationarity Is Dead: Whither Water Management?

P. C. D. Milly,^{1*} Julio Betancourt,² Malin Falkenmark,³ Robert M. Hirsch,⁴ Zbigniew W. Kundzewicz,⁵ Dennis P. Lettenmaier,⁶ Ronald J. Stouffer⁷

Systems for management of water throughout the developed world have been designed and operated under the assumption of stationarity. Stationarity—the idea that natural systems fluctuate within an unchanging envelope of variability—is a foundational concept that permeates training and practice in water-resource engineering. It implies that any variable (e.g., annual streamflow or annual flood peak) has a time-invariant (or 1-year-periodic) probability density function (pdf), whose properties can be estimated from the instrument record. Under staClimate change undermines a basic assumption that historically has facilitated management of water supplies, demands, and risks.



STATIONARITY: WANTED DEAD OR ALIVE?¹

Harry F. Lins and Timothy A. Cohn²

ABSTRACT: Aligning engineering practice with natural process behavior would appear, on its face, to be a prudent and reasonable course of action. However, if we do not understand the long-term characteristics of hydroclimatic processes, how does one find the prudent and reasonable course needed for water management? We consider this question in light of three aspects of existing and unresolved issues affecting hydroclimatic variability and statistical inference: Hurst-Kolmogorov phenomena; the complications long-term persistence introduces with respect to statistical understanding; and the dependence of process understanding on arbitrary sampling choices. These problems are not easily addressed. In such circumstances, humility may be more important than physics; a simple model with well-understood flaws may be preferable to a sophisticated model whose correspondence to reality is uncertain.

(KEY TERMS: stationarity; nonstationarity; long-term persistence; Hurst-Kolmogorov phenomenon; trend testing; hypothesis testing.)

Lins, Harry F. and Timothy A. Cohn, 2011. Stationarity: Wanted Dead or Alive? Journal of the American Water Resources Association (JAWRA) 47(3):475-480. DOI: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00542.x

Observed or Projected Rainfall?

	Is the time period used for design appropriate for the structure?	Uncertainty (accuracy)
Observed Rainfall	Using past observed data to design future structures	Low uncertainty (high accuracy)
Projected Rainfall	Using future projected data to design future structures	High uncertainty (low accuracy)

Rainfall frequency sources TP-40, ISWS Bulletin 70/NOAA Atlas 14

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Levina R. Basers, Survivy	WEATHER BUREAU F.W. RECENTRY, Clar		
TECHNICAL PAPER NO. 40 RAINFALL FREQUENCY ATLAS OF THE UNI	TED STATES	(ě	NOAA Atlas 14
Additional production of Additional Original Control of Additional Original Control of Additional Original Orig	BULLETIN 70 EVELOTION TO EVELOTION TO EVELOTION AND A HOPE AND A HOPE AND A HOPE AND A MAKES R. AND AND A MAKES R. AND A MA		Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the United States Volume 7 Version 2.0: Alaska Sanja Perica ¹ , Oouglas Kane ² , Sarah Dietz ¹ , Kazungu Maitaria ¹ , Deborah Martin ¹ , Sandra Pavlovic ¹ , Ishani Roy ¹ , Svetlana Stuefer ² , Amy Tidwell ² , Carl Trypaluk ¹ , Dale Unruh ¹ , Michael Yetka ¹ , Erica Betta ² , Geoffrey Bonnin ¹ , Sarah Heim ¹ , Lillian Hiner ¹ , Elizabeth Lilly ² , Jayashree Narayanan ² , Fenglin Yan ¹ , Tan Zhao ¹
ILLINOIS STATE WATER SURVEY PRAIRIE RESEARCH INSTITUTE	Title: Enegency Distributions and Hydroclimatic Characteristics of Heavy Banatoms in Tillin Abstract: This report presents the results of an estensive investigation of the distribution of post individual in periods manifer the system of the distribution of post individual in periods manifer the system of the distribution of post individual in periods manifer the system of the distribution of post individual in periods manifer the system of the distribution of the distribution of the annound in the summation of the distribution of the system of the annound in the system of the distribution of the system of th	oli I ferry Johnn are ercourse a a special mice acro provided on Character 65, riminal	panks, re rad nental Denter ipring, 2012



Future trends in rainfall frequency

- Monitoring data and research indicate that the intensity and frequency of heavy rainstorm events in the Midwest and other parts of the U. S. have been increasing and are likely to continue to increase.
- However, it is not exactly known if it will actually happen, and if it does, to what degree.

Projected Climate Changes



J.R. Angel, K.E. Kunkel / Journal of Great Lakes Research 36 (2010) 51-58



Projected Climate Changes



J.R. Angel, K.E. Kunkel / Journal of Great Lakes Research 36 (2010) 51-58



UNCERTAINTY

Modeling Uncertainties

- Data
 - Observation
 - Aggregation
 - Sampling Variability
- Model (physical, conceptual, mechanistic, statistical, empirical, data mining, soft computing)
 - Model Limitations
 - Calibration
 - Initial Conditions
- Future Climate

Uncertainty is expressed through confidence interval/limits

- Large interval = high uncertainty = low accuracy
- Small interval = low uncertainty = high accuracy

Predicted flood level



Predicted flood level



Predicted flood level



"... the future is not what it used to be" (Paul Valery)



Accounting for uncertainty

 The uncertainty in projected heavy rainfall is significant, and many of us tend to use it to simply ignore these projections. However, ignoring a potentially big change just because it is uncertain could be very costly.

RISK=PROBABILITY × IMPACT

Climate change and floods – findings and adaptation strategies for flood protection in Baden-Wurttemberg, Germany

W. Hennegriff, Federal Institute for Environment, Measurements and Nature Protection Baden-Wurttemberg, Landesanstalt fur Umwelt, Messungen und Naturschutz Baden-Wurttemberg (LUBW), Griesbachstraße 1, D–76185 Karlsruhe (E-mail: Wolfgang.Hennegriff@lubw.bwl.de)



Factors for climate change

Figure 6 Areas in Baden-Württemberg with uniform climate change factors f_{T,K}

т	Factors for climate change $f_{T,K}$					
[years]	1	2	3	4	5	
2	1.25	1.50	1.75	1.50	1.75	
5	1.24	1.45	1.65	1.45	1.67	
10	1.23	1.40	1.55	1.43	1.60	
20	1.21	1.33	1.42	1.40	1.50	
50	1.18	1.23	1.25	1.31	1.35	
100	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.25	1.25	
200	1.12	1.08	1.07	1.18	1.15	
500	1.06	1.03	1.00	1.08	1.05	
1000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Remark: Factor is equal 1.0 for annualities T>1000a						

Figure 7 Climate change factors $f_{T,K}$ to determine the design flood for the areas or river catchments in Baden-Württemberg

PROJECT SCHEMATIC

Weighted Ensemble Analysis for heavy rainfall



A schematic of climate model evaluation



RESULTS

Results for O'Hare and Midway rain gages





Differences between projected 100-year, 24-hour isohyetals for late-21st century and those based on NOAA Atlas 14



These results are not designed for operational use, nor do they replace the existing sources

2081 - 2100

2081 - 2100

Differences between projected for late 21st century and Atlas 14 upper 90% confidence limits for 100-year, 24-hour isohyetals



These results are not designed for operational use, nor do they replace the existing sources

2081 - 2100

2081 - 2100

Summary

- Heavy rainfall events are expected to increase
- Confidence intervals will become larger
- To increase our confidence in projected rainfall statistics: Continue monitoring, research, and model development, validation and testing to better understand and quantify the random nature of the projected rainfall.

Questions?



Exceedances of NOAA Atlas 14

