## Metropolitan Planning Council

March 8, 2013

Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Transportation Committee ATTN: PLAN and TIP Amendment 233 S. Wacker Dr., Suite 800 Chicago, IL, 60606

Governor Quinn and the Illinois Dept. of Transportation (IDOT) has requested that the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) amend metropolitan Chicago's GO TO 2040 comprehensive regional plan to include the proposed Circle Interchange improvements as a fiscally constrained project in the region's transportation plan, a prerequisite to receive federal funding. MPC objects to the inclusion of the Circle Interchange in the plan.

MPC considers the GO TO 2040 plan to be a living document that should recognize and adapt to the region's dynamic transportation needs and consider appropriate amendments. The process for considering those amendments should mimic that which all projects followed during the five-year planning effort that resulted in GO TO 2040. Working together, the seven counties and 284 communities that make up the CMAP region established clearly defined strategies to influence future growth. Projects were considered for inclusion in the plan based on how well they implemented the regional vision, and subsequently were prioritized based on economic, congestion, accessibility, and environmental justice performance measures to select the projects that best advanced the region's goals within our limited resources. The process was fair, transparent, based on the projects' merits and reflective of CMAP's emphasis on investments that achieve the best results.

MPC strongly objects to the Circle Interchange project being proposed for inclusion in the region's transportation plan, for two reasons: first, the project was not vetted through the

established prioritization process; and second, newly available data demonstrates that it will not provide results sufficient to merit the projected \$410 million cost. MPC conducted an initial comparison with the 52 regional transportation projects that advanced to the evaluation

When compared to the other 52 projects analyzed as part of GOTO 2040's planning process, the circle interchange ranked near the bottom on all but two measurements where it ranked in the middle.

Rank out of 52
projects
47
48
48
26
28
50
32*
34
50

\*23 projects do not change the number of jobs accessible within 75 minutes by

stage during the GO TO 2040 process, the Circle Interchange project ranks low (see chart). Taxpayers deserve data-driven decisions, not sudden pronouncements for a project lacking a

Board of Governors
Joseph A. Gregoire •

Ann M. Drake • Vice Chair

Jesse H. Ruiz • Vice Chair

Paul C. Carlisle • Vice Chair—Development

James P. Stirling • Vice Chair–Development

Kathy Hopinkah Hannan • Secretary

Lisa Snow • Treasurer

John M. McDonough • General Counsel

King Harris • Past Chair

J. Tyler Anthony Rita R. Athas Karen M. Atwood John W. Baird Kyle Barnett Lawrence T. Borgard Byron Brazier Carole L. Brown Todd C. Brown • John A. Buck Christopher B. Burke Nora Moreno Cargie Ellen Carnahan Pedro J. Cevallos-Candau Lester Crown Jon B. DeVries Sidney R. Dillard Derek Douglas Robert V. Fitzsimmons • James C. Franczek, Jr. • John S. Gates, Jr. Linda Goodman Jacques N. Gordon, Ph.D. • M. Hill Hammock •

David Hiller Lawrence I lowe Bernard Loyd Mary K. Ludgin Peter C. Malecek James E. Mann • Lee M. Mitchell • Paul E. Nowicki Robert T. O'Brien J. Scot Pepper • Stephen M. Porras Quintin E. Primo, III Jorge Ramirez George A. Ranney, Jr. • Matthew R. Reilein Kevin C. Richardson Jean Rudd Martin Stern .Bruce W. Taylor Michael A. Thomas Mary White Vasys

President MarySue Barrett •

Paula Wolff •

Executive Committee •

**Executive Advisors**Laurent Auguste
Veolia Water Americas

Raymond Bachant Bombardier Transportation

Carol L. Bernick Polished Nickel Capital Management

Lawrence T. Borgard Integrys Energy Group

Marsha Cruzan U.S. Bank

Craig J. Duchossois The Duchossois Group, Inc.

Colin Dyer Jones Lang LaSalle

Mitchell S. Feiger MB Financial Bank, N.A.

Centerpoint Properties

Joseph A. Gregoire

Paul S. Fisher

Stephen J. Hagge AptarGroup, Inc.

Patricia Hemingway Hall Health Care Service Corp.

Edward R. Hamberger Association of American Railroads

Eric Hirschfield Goldman Sachs

Frederick A. Krehbiel Molex Incorporated

Matthew Levin Aon Corporation

Raymond J. Lewis Ventas, Inc. David J. Neithercut

Equity Residential

Robert L. Parkinson, Jr.

Baxter International Inc.

I. Marshall Peck

InterPark Holdings LLC
Thomas Pontarelli

CNA Judith C. Rice

BMO Harris Bank

Larry D. Richman *The PrivateBank* 

Jim L. Stanley NIPSCO (NiSource)

Robert A. Sullivan Fifth Third Bank Frederick H. Waddell

Northern Trust
Edward J. Wehmer

Edward J. Wehmer Wintrust Financial Corporation comprehensive assessment, which will displace other worthy investments, and to which the State of Illinois has already committed \$40 million to engineering and planning.

The Federal Highway Administration has documented -- and we all know from firsthand experience -- the Circle Interchange is the nation's "most heavily congested freight bottleneck." But we also know from CMAP's January 2013 evaluation that this project will do little to relieve congestion or spur economic growth. CMAP's analysis finds expanding the interchange is projected to convert 1,000 trips *from* transit *to* driving and *increase* carbon dioxide emissions by 39,000 metric tons per year. Further, on a system wide basis, drivers in the region commuting to work will save only 1.2 seconds per trip if the project is built – or just 1,000 total hours of congestion daily. In contrast, the I-290 managed lanes project will save 22,676 hours of congestion system wide. Further, the Circle Interchange project is expected to add \$436,000 to the Gross Regional Product, while the I-290 project will add approximately \$103 million.

An MPC analysis of the 52 projects evaluated under GO TO 2040 generated similar results: other projects in the queue do more to relieve congestion and grow the economy than the Circle Interchange. If the region chooses to allocate hundreds of millions of dollars to a transportation project, those dollars should have the greatest impact. These concerns could have been publically vetted if the Circle Interchange project went through the same transparent process other capital improvements have gone through prior to the state announcing it as a priority.

The Chicagoland region has united around its transportation priorities. Unilaterally adding a project to this agreed-upon plan demeans the thorough effort so many put into GO TO 2040 and sends a cynical message to the public that criteria and transparency do not matter but political clout does. The public deserves to know that their dollars are being spent wisely, effectively, and according to a well-thought-out plan. We simply cannot afford to arbitrarily allocate scarce funds to a project that hasn't been fully evaluated. MPC strongly believes that unless a project proves it can deliver multiple positive results to the region and is evaluated against the transparent and accountable criteria that CMAP has established for the region, it should not be included in the regional transportation plan.

Sincerely

Peter Skosey
Executive Vice President

Metropolitan Planning Council